MAT-89-01

Reference Cells for use in Cathodically Protected Concrete

Ontario

Ministry of Transportation

Research and Development Branch

89-03229/

Technical Report Documentation Page

Reference Cells for use in Cathodically Protected Concrete

Author(s):	Schell, H.C., Manning, D.G., Pianca, F.
Number:	MAT-89-01
Date of Publication:	January 1989
Published by:	Research and Development Branch, MTO
Ministry Contact:	Hannah Schell, Materials Research Section (416) 235- 4693
Abstract:	Reference cells are needed to measure the potential of embedded steel in cathodically protected reinforced concrete members to ensure that the level of protection is neither too high nor too low. Embedded cells are more convenient than surface cells where access to the protected surface of the structure is difficult, and are essential for use with potential-controlled rectifiers or remote monitoring systems. The paper reports the results of a series of laboratory, outdoor exposure plot, and field tests to evaluate the suitability of candidate embedded reference cells for use in reinforced concrete. Zinc-zinc sulfate, silver-silver chloride, molybdenum-molybdenum oxide, lead-lead oxide cells, and graphite electrodes were evaluated. The graphite electrodes were found to be the most stable with time and to be the least influenced by changes in temperature or the chloride content of the concrete. They were also inexpensive. The only other cell considered suitable for embedment in concrete was a silver-silver chloride cell, though this type of cell was more affected by temperature and chloride content than graphite. There were large variations in the performance of some cells of the same type from different sources..
Comments:	Paper presented at the 68th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, January 22-26, 1989, Washington, D.C.
Key Words:	Reference cell, half-cell, potential measurements, cathodic protection, corrosion of steel in concrete
Distribution:	Primarily of interest to individuals involved in the design, installation and maintenance of cathodic protection systems.
Copyright Status:	Crown copyright © 1988 Ministry of Transportation

MAT-89-01

Reference Cells for use in Cathodically Protected Concrete

H.C. Schell
D.G. Manning
F. Planca

Ontario Ministry of Transportation

Published by
The Research and Development Branch
Ontario Ministry of Transportation
Hon. Ed Fulton, Minister
D.G. Hobbs, Deputy Minister

Published without prejudice as to the application of the findings.
Crown copyright reserved; however, this document may be reproduced for non-commercial purposes with attribution to the Ministry.

For additional copies, contact:
The Editor, Technical Publications
Room 320, Central Building
1201 Wilson Avenue
Downsview, Ontario
Canada M3M 1J8

Telephone: (416) 235-3480
CNCP Dialcom Electronic Mail: 23:MIN006

January 1989

Table of Contents

Introduction 1
Requirements and Types of Reference Cells 3
Early Bridge deck Cathodic Protection Systems 4
Substructure Cathodic Protection Field Trials 6
Testing Under Controlled Conditions 12
Current and Future Ministry Activities 20
Concluding Remarks 21
References 22

List of Table and Figures

Tables

1/ Potential of Embedded Reference Cells Relative to a Portable Copper-Copper Sulfate Cell 24

2/ Standard Deviation of Cell-to-Rebar Potential Readings 24

3/ Standard Deviation of Cell-to-Rebar Potential Readings During Cold and Cool Weather Investigations 25

4/ Summary of Results of Exposure Plot Testing in Virginia 25

Figures

1/ A Zinc-Zinc Sulfate Reference Cell 26

2/ Comparison of Embedded Graphite and Zinc Cells with Portable Copper-Copper Sulfate Cell Under Field Conditions 27

3/ A Graphite Electrode 28

4/ Test Specimen in the Ministry of Transportation Laboratory and Exposure Plot Study 29

5/ Cell Performance in Cold Weather, February 7, 1985 30

6/ Cell Performance in Cool Weather, March 21, 1985 31

7/ Micropolarization Tests on Selected Reference Cells 32

8/ Test Specimen in the Virginia Outdoor Exposure Study 33

INTRODUCTION

A reference cell is an electrode of known electrical potential which can be used to measure the potential of embedded steel. When the steel is under cathodic protection, an accurate measurement of its potential is necessary to ensure that it is neither underprotected nor over-protected. Protection criteria may be based on absolute potential measurements or on shifts in potential with time. For exposed concrete surfaces, a surface electrode, such as the copper-copper sulfate cell (CSE), which has become the standard reference cell used on highway structures, can be used to measure potentials at different points on the structure. However, on decks, traffic control is usually required, and on substructure components, access is often difficult. Further, in order that the cell potential is not influenced by its proximity to the anode, portions of the anode may have to be removed to expose the concrete surface or the reference cell must be inserted into holes either drilled or cast into the surface of the structure. In view of the cost and the practical difficulties of making surface measurements, embedded cells are more convenient and in some cases, such as in systems equipped with potential-controlled rectifiers or remote-monitoring capabilities, are essential.

Unfortunately, the standard copper-copper sulfate electrode is unsuitable for embedding in concrete (because of leakage and damage by freezing) and there exists a need for a reliable, embedded reference cell. This paper reports data collected by the Ontario Ministry of Transportation on several types of reference cells in both the laboratory and the field over a period of approximately ten years. Some of

the laboratory experiments were designed to examine specific characteristics of selected reference cells. Much of the field data was collected in conjunction with ongoing efforts to monitor the performance of cathodically protected reinforced concrete highway structures, although some installations included several types of reference cells for the specific purpose of examining the performance of the reference cells under field conditions.

It can be argued that effective cathodic protection systems can be constructed which do not include reference cells. Such an approach is consistent with attempts to reduce costs and simplify cathodic protection systems in order to increase their use by highway agencies. However, eliminating reference cells is premature and, even if feasible, compromises the ability to monitor installations to ensure both effectiveness and efficiency of the cathodic protection with time. The current required to cathodically protect a reinforced concrete component is determined largely by the amount of steel receiving current, and by two factors which change with changes in environmental conditions and with time: the rate of corrosion and the circuit resistance. An arbitrary protective current which is both effective and does not result in over-protection, especially in complex or unusual structures where it may be difficult to determine the amount of steel receiving current, has not yet been defined. Eliminating reference cells prevents monitoring potential variation in the structure or the effect of seasonal changes (unless a survey is made with a surface cell). Further, experience with existing installations has shown that current demands decrease with time and the use of an arbitrary constant

current could result in, at worst, over-protection or at best, in reduced anode life and inefficient power use.

REQUIREMENTS AND TYPES OF REFERENCE CELLS

The requirements for a good reference electrode have been defined as follows (1):

i) It should be reversible and follow the Nernst equation with respect to one reacting species.

ii) It should have a stable potential with time.

iii) The potential should return to the reversible value after small currents are passed through the electrolyte.

iv) It should remain at a constant potential in spite of temperature changes.

v) It should not introduce any species into the system which cause adverse effects.

In addition, embedded reference cells for use in reinforced concrete highway structures need to be sufficiently rugged that they can be installed by a contractor, and inexpensive. A disadvantage of embedded cells, compared with surface measurements, is that they measure the potential of the steel only at the position of installation but, if the cells are inexpensive, this disadvantage can be reduced by installing several cells at different positions in the structure.

It is convenient to divide the types of reference cells available into three types:

- metals surrounded by a ion-rich backfill, for example zinc-zinc sulfate and silver-silver chloride,

current could result in, at worst, over-protection or at best, in reduced anode life and inefficient power use.

REQUIREMENTS AND TYPES OF REFERENCE CELLS

The requirements for a good reference electrode have been defined as follows (1):

i) It should be reversible and follow the Nernst equation with respect to one reacting species.

ii) It should have a stable potential with time.

iii) The potential should return to the reversible value after small currents are passed through the electrolyte.

iv) It should remain at a constant potential in spite of temperature changes.

v) It should not introduce any species into the system which cause adverse effects.

In addition, embedded reference cells for use in reinforced concrete highway structures need to be sufficiently rugged that they can be installed by a contractor, and inexpensive. A disadvantage of embedded cells, compared with surface measurements, is that they measure the potential of the steel only at the position of installation but, if the cells are inexpensive, this disadvantage can be reduced by installing several cells at different positions in the structure.

It is convenient to divide the types of reference cells available into three types:

- metals surrounded by an ion-rich backfill, for example zinc-zinc sulfate and silver-silver chloride,

showed that the zinc-zinc sulfate cells could not be used to control the rectifiers since they were not sufficiently stable with time. In particular, the response to shifts in potential decreased with time and became erratic in cold weather.

Graphite voltage probes were also included in the early installations. The probes were embedded in the concrete flush with the deck surface and in contact with the anode, and were used to measure the anode voltage at several locations on the deck surface to ensure an even distribution of voltage. Comparisons were made between CSE measurements of the potential of the steel using a cell placed on the deck surface and voltage measurements on the probes (4). It was found that the graphite probes were stable and consistent, with the result that a graphite probe was used to control the rectifier. The remaining probes were used to ensure that the protection criterion which had been established (an instant-off potential of between 0.80 V and 1.25 V measured on the voltage probe) was satisfied. The stability of the readings from the voltage probes led to the idea that graphite may be suitable for use as an embedded reference cell.

In 1986, an opportunity was offered to examine the condition of zinc-zinc sulfate reference cells and graphite voltage probes after ten years in service when joint repairs required the removal of the surfacing from a deck which had been cathodically protected in 1976 (5). The zinc-zinc sulfate cells had long ceased to be useful because of instability and the backfill was found to be deteriorated. By contrast, the graphite voltage probes showed no deterioration.

SUBSTRUCTURE CATHODIC PROTECTION FIELD TRIALS

The Burlington Bay Skyway Test Site

a) Overall Observations

Four experimental cathodic protection systems were installed on the columns of the Burlington Bay Skyway Bridge in 1982 and four more were added in 1983. Several types of instrumentation were incorporated in the construction to monitor the effectiveness of the cathodic protection, including a number of different reference cells. Only zinc-zinc sulfate cells were used in 1982, but it was recognized that these were not entirely satisfactory (6) and in 1983, a small number of molybdenum-molybdenum oxide (7), silver-silver chloride, lead-lead oxide cells, and graphite electrodes were installed in addition to the zinc-zinc sulfate cells. In the remainder of this paper, these cells are referred to as molybdenum, silver, lead, graphite, and zinc cells respectively.

A paper based on data collected through July 1984 (8) noted that there was considerable variation in the performance of the five types of reference cells. The zinc cells showed large differences between the potentials measured on individual cells which would have been expected to give similar readings. Cells in both powered and unpowered areas shifted with time such that measurements of absolute potential values as a protection criterion were unreliable. Most of the zinc cells were, however, consistent and reliable for measuring short term potential shifts to determine whether the individual systems satisfied criteria (-300 mV between static and instant-off potentials or -100 mV decay from instant-off potential within 4 hours) for effective protec-

tion. The molybdenum cells were more erratic than the zinc cells and became very unstable at temperatures below 5°C. The silver cells were also erratic during periods of low temperatures. The graphite and the lead cells were more consistent over time and showed little variation with temperature or changes in the moisture condition of the concrete.

Additional data collected through October 1986 on one of the systems which contained all five types of cell, confirmed the earlier findings. However, with the exception of the zinc cells, there was only one sample of each type of cell. Of the three zinc cells, two became unreliable after approximately one year, while the third remained stable throughout the three-year period of observation and consistently returned to sensibly the same static potential reading during periods when the power was switched off.

b) Comparison of Embedded Cell Potentials With Potentials Measured By a Portable Cell

The same cathodic protection system was used to monitor the performance of the embedded reference cells by comparison with CSE cells placed directly above the embedded cells. The cathodic protection system consisted of a polymer mesh anode with a 40 mm thick covering of shotcrete. Pieces of plastic tubing were anchored to the concrete surface prior to shotcreting so that the portable cell could be placed in a "well" to the original concrete surface to eliminate the effect of the anode.

Comparative readings were made by recording the potential of the embedded cells relative to ground and then placing the portable cell in

the well and measuring its potential relative to ground. Finally, a measurement of the potential difference between the portable cell and the corresponding embedded cell was made. A total of eight sets of readings were made during the 18-month period following construction. The readings were made during periods when the power was switched off and when the system was operating. In the latter case, the power supply was interrupted momentarily so that the "instant-off" potential was measured. Unfortunately, reconstruction of the bridge deck prevented the access to the test sites needed to take a complete set of readings on every occasion.

Table 1 lists the measured potential differences between each cell and the portable cell and the calculated standard deviation for each embedded cell for the periods when instant-off measurements were made. The graphite and lead cells showed the least variation with respect to the portable cell and the silver cell exhibited large fluctuations, although very limited data are available. Figure 2 compares the potentials measured on the graphite cell and one of the zinc cells (which became unstable) with the potentials measured by the portable cell at the corresponding locations. The figure shows the relatively good agreement between the graphite cell and the portable cell.

Although more complete field data comparing embedded cells and surface cells would be desirable, the procedure is time-consuming, especially where access is difficult as in the case of high piers. Measurements are further complicated by the presence of a surface anode if wells are not provided to the original concrete surface.

c) Effect of Concrete Overcoat on Potential Measurements

Since many of the Ministry's substructure cathodic protection installations consist of a distributed anode with a concrete overcoat, readings were taken to investigate the validity of potential measurements directly on the overcoat. The readings were taken by placing the portable CSE cell in the wells installed in the cathodic protection system already described and then placing the cell on the shotcrete overcoat immediately adjacent to the well. Instant-off potentials were recorded on two occasions when the system was operating at normal power levels (typically 10 mA/m^2 of concrete surface) and on one occasion when the power was switched off.

The results were that the instant-off potentials measured on the shotcrete surface were 150 to 200 mV more negative (i.e. indicating more protection) than the measurements in the tubes. With the power off, the surface measurements were an average of 60 mV more negative.

The measurements clearly demonstrate the influence of the anode on surface potential measurements. This effect is of particular concern when evaluating the performance of cathodic protection systems relative to an absolute potential criteria, but is also important when using criteria based on potential shifts. Two important conclusions emerge from this test. Firstly, it confirms the need for a stable reference electrode which can be permanently embedded at the level of the steel. Secondly, it shows that where portable cells are used, direct access to the concrete in the immediate vicinity of steel must be provided.

d) Measurement of AC Resistance of Cells

A further means of evaluating the long term stability of the reference cells was by measurement of the AC resistance between the cell and the reinforcing steel (often referred to as "ground") adjacent to it. While such resistance is influenced by changes in the temperature and moisture condition of the concrete, these effects are seasonal so that any irreversible changes are an indication of changes in reliability of the cell such as deterioration of the cell backfill material or corrosion of the connecting wire. Measurements were made over a two-year period.

The graphite cell displayed the lowest resistance to ground and exhibited only a seasonal response over the two year period. One of the zinc cells and the lead cell also displayed a predictable response though the resistance was higher than the graphite cell presumably because of the smaller size of the cells. The resistance of the silver cell was very high, especially in the winter months. The resistance of the molybdenum cell fluctuated considerably in a way that could not be predicted from changes in temperature.

Although additional data are required to establish a normal range of values for each type of cell, the AC resistance measurements are easy to make and are a useful supplement to potential measurements to determine if a cell remains stable. Such measurements have been taken periodically on subsequent installations in order to define "normal" values for a stable cell.

Leslie St. Test Site

Two conductive coating systems, one utilizing conductive paint and the other utilizing flame-sprayed zinc, were installed on pier bents at the Leslie Street test site in 1984 and a proprietary titanium mesh anode was installed in 1985 (9). The opportunity was taken to include several types of reference cells in the instrumentation used to evaluate the three systems. Graphite probes, zinc, conductive polymer, and lead cells were fabricated by the Ministry and silver cells were purchased from two commercial sources. The graphite electrode is illustrated schematically in Figure 3. The cost varied considerably, ranging from $30 Can., which is the approximate commercial cost of the zinc cells, to $400 Can. for the silver cells.

The initial results confirmed the findings made from measurements at the Burlington test site. The graphite and the lead cells were not only the most stable, but also the least expensive. While there was confidence that the graphite cells were consistent from batch to batch, this was not necessarily the case for the lead cells because of the lack of good quality control procedures. The conductive polymer cell became unstable soon after installation and was of no further use. Mixed results were obtained from the zinc cells; some showed evidence of deterioration while others remained stable. The silver cells became unstable at temperatures below 5°C and also had the disadvantage of high cost. In view of the deterioration of the backfill in the zinc cells used at other sites, there was concern for the long term durability of the backfill used with the silver cells. However, this could not be evaluated because deterioration of one of the cathodic

protection systems precluded a thorough assessment of the instrumentation. A decision was made to augment the field experience by testing selected cells under more controlled conditions.

TESTING UNDER CONTROLLED CONDITIONS

Laboratory and exposure plot studies of the performance of reference cells were carried out by Ministry staff and, through a research contract, under exposure plot conditions at a facility in Virginia.

Ministry of Transportation Tests

a) Exposure Plot Testing

Tests were carried out during the period 1984-6 utilizing small concrete specimens containing embedded reference cells, exposed first under laboratory conditions and later in an outdoor environment. Four types of reference cells were fabricated in the Ministry's laboratories (graphite, lead, molybdenum, and zinc) and molybdenum cells were also purchased commercially. Two cells of each type were included in the study.

The cells were placed adjacent to reinforcing bars cast in the specimens as illustrated in Figure 4. Wells were constructed using plastic tubing positioned over the reinforcing bars and close to the embedded cells to allow potential measurements using a portable CSE cell. The potential of the steel was measured using the embedded cells and the portable (external) cell. The potential difference between the embedded cells and the external cell was measured by placing the external cell on the surface of the concrete directly above each embedded cell in turn.

Measurements were made over a period of four months in the laboratory followed by two years in the exposure plot. During the winter, when cold temperatures prevented the use of a portable cell, the potentials measured by the embedded cells were recorded periodically using a remote datalogger.

The stability of the cells was evaluated by calculating the standard deviations of the cell-to-rebar potential with time. The results are shown in Table 2 for three periods of exposure: the initial four months in the laboratory, and two five-month periods corresponding to the spring and summer of each year in the outdoor exposure plot.

The graphite cells consistently displayed the lowest standard deviation throughout the period of evaluation. Individual lead, zinc, and molybdenum cells which displayed good performance in the laboratory and during the first year of outdoor exposure, became unstable during the second year and potential measurements fluctuated widely. Table 2 also includes the standard deviation of the potentials measured by the copper-copper sulfate cell for comparative purposes.

The cold weather performance of reference cells was of particular interest considering the Ontario climate and the need for year-round reliability of instrumentation used to monitor and control installations of cathodic protection. Readings taken during a five day period beginning February 7, 1985 are shown for the two samples of each cell in Figures 5(a) and (b). The standard deviation of the readings during the period is given in Table 3. Concrete temperatures recorded during the period were consistently lower than 0°C, and the lowest temperature

recorded was -18°C. Another set of readings was made over a five-day period of cool weather beginning March 21, 1985. During this period, the average concrete temperature was 3°C and the range was -5°C to 14°C. The results are shown in Figures 6(a) and (b) and the standard deviations are given in Table 3.

The zinc cells were most affected by periods of low temperature, probably because of effects on the backfill material which resulted in poor contact between the zinc and the backfill. Lead and the laboratory-made molybdenum cells also fluctuated with the low temperatures, and although the fluctuations were less severe than those of the zinc cells, these cells would not be suitable for use with a potential-controlled rectifier. The graphite cells had the lowest standard deviation and showed very little response to changes in temperature.

An indication of an acceptable standard deviation for a reference cell can be obtained by considering a rectifier under potential control and a protection criterion of, for example, -770 to -1100 mV (CSE). If the rectifier is adjusted to the mid-point of the band (-935 mV), the reference cell could shift by 165 mV before the protection criterion was violated. If the cell output is assumed to follow a normal distribution and acceptable readings are defined as those within three standard deviations of the mean (i.e. a 99.74 percent chance of satisfying the protection criterion), then the reference cell must have a standard deviation of no more that 55 mV.

The results of these experiments increased confidence in the ability of the graphite cells to provide stable, long term performance. The zinc

cells were clearly unsuitable in the form used. Variations between the individual samples of the lead and molybdenum cells made in the laboratory indicated that, if the cells were to be used, the effect of various aspects of the manufacturing process, particularly the rate of cooling, on cell performance would need to be investigated fully and rigorous quality control procedures implemented.

b) Micropolarization Tests

Another series of laboratory tests was made to investigate the reversibility of reference cells. This is important because reference cells embedded in cathodically protected reinforced concrete must respond to changes in steel potential consistently even after repeated changes in power levels. In order to investigate this effect, a micropolarization technique was employed (10) which involved the repeated application of very small positive and negative currents to a reference cell, and measurement of the resulting potential.

Figure 7 shows a plot of micropolarization tests carried out on four reference cells. A maximum potential shift of $\pm$10 mV was applied and the scan rate was 1 mV/second. The calomel cell showed no hysteresis effects which is the behavior expected from an ideal cell. The copper-copper sulfate cell exhibited some hysteresis; the graphite cell and an experimental titanium cell showed progressively greater hysteresis. As would be expected, the calomel and copper-copper sulfate cells, which are the standard portable reference cells for laboratory and field studies respectively, exhibited good performance and it appears that the penalty to be paid for more rugged cells suitable for permanent

embedment in concrete may be a greater departure from the idealized behavior. In addition to providing information on the characteristics of different types of cell, and the screening of new reference cells, micropolarization tests may prove to be a useful component of a quality assurance system for reference cells for routine use.

Although the graphite cells have demonstrated good performance in concrete, the use of the cell has been questioned because the ionic species involved is uncertain and the cell reactions may be influenced by the composition of the concrete porewater surrounding the cell. In order to investigate these effects, laboratory studies have been initiated to examine the long-term performance of graphite cells in different solutions. Cells have been placed sequentially in distilled water and solutions of calcium hydroxide and calcium hydroxide plus different concentrations of sodium chloride. The study is continuing.

Other Exposure Plot Tests

Following the initiation of the small scale internal study of laboratory and exposure plot testing, a more comprehensive study was initiated in 1984, by means of a research contract, to investigate the suit ability of embedded reference cells for monitoring and controlling cathodic protection systems on reinforced concrete structures. The study included all the types of reference cells available either commercially or made by the Ministry at the time the study began. Eight different cells were tested comprising two zinc-zinc sulfate cells, one graphite electrode, two silver-silver chloride cells, one lead, and two molybdenum-molybdenum oxide.

Specimens 600 x 300 x 150 mm thick were fabricated from two types of concrete, one salt-free and the other containing 0.30 percent chloride ion by mass of concrete. Each specimen contained two identical reference cells, short lengths of platinized niobium wire, reinforcing steel, and a thermocouple, as shown in Figure 8.

After curing, the specimens were stored in an outdoor exposure site in Virginia. The following measurements were made periodically over a period of 20 months:

- Potential of each embedded cell relative to the embedded reinforcing steel.
- Potential difference between each embedded cell and a portable cell (calomel and CSE) placed on the concrete surface immediately over each embedded cell.
- Potential of each embedded cell relative to the platinum wire.
- AC resistance of each embedded cell to the embedded steel and platinum.
- Concrete temperature.

The concrete temperatures at the time of data collection ranged from -1°C to 43°C.

The data are available in the final report on the project (11) and those data found to be the most meaningful are summarized in Table 4.

Both the laboratory and the commercial zinc cells were found to be unstable. The standard deviation of the laboratory cells was approximately 100 mV. The standard deviation of the commercial zinc cells ranged from 94 to 207 mV and the AC resistance in the salt-laden concrete was extremely high through most of the test period.

The four lead cells also had a standard deviation of more than 100 mV. The cells were relatively stable during the first 300 days after fabrication of the slabs, but the readings became erratic for the remainder of the test period.

The molybdenum cells from both commercial sources were judged to be unacceptably unstable. The cells from the first source underwent significant potential shifts during the first 150 days after embedment, but subsequently became more stable. The standard deviation of the potential difference varied from 63 to 82 mV. The standard deviation of the potential difference of the cells from the second source ranged from 37 to 129 mV. These cells were relatively stable in the salt-free concrete for the first 300 days, after which time one cell became very unstable. On the other hand, in the salt-laden concrete, the cells were very unstable during the first 150 days and there were significant differences between the two cells throughout the remainder of the test period. The AC resistance of the cells from both sources was relatively low.

The silver cells from both commercial sources performed quite well although the AC resistance of the cells from one of the sources was high and this could create difficulties if used in conjunction with a potential-controlled rectifier. The average potential differences of the cells from the second source versus CSE were -45 and -26 mV in salt-free concrete but 31 and 20 mV in concrete containing salt. The standard deviation of the potential difference ranged from 22 to 52 mV. Although the cells were stable, the measured potential was found to be quite strongly dependent on the chloride content of the surrounding concrete.

The graphite cells were the most stable cells tested. The average potential differences of the four cells with respect to the CSE electrode were -8 and -15 mV in salt-free concrete and 8 and 8 mV in salt-laden concrete. The standard deviation of the potential difference ranged from 27 to 50 mV, and AC resistance between the cells and the reinforcing steel was low.

The results showed that many of the cells available at the time the study was initiated, were unsuitable for the control and long-term monitoring of cathodic protection installations. Not only were the graphite cells the most stable, they were also inexpensive and required no special backfill material. Although the study reported that the potential difference to the CSE was small, meaning that potentials measured with the graphite cells and with the copper-copper sulfate half-cells could be used interchangeably, much larger differences were recorded in the Ministry tests reported in Table 1. The source of the graphite used in the two series of tests was not the same, and until the effect of the grade and type of graphite is better documented, graphite electrodes should not be used without calibration. In spite of the good performance, the average standard deviation relative to a calibrated CSE of the four graphite cells over 20 months was 40 mV. Consequently, the cells need periodic recalibration if used with cathodic protection systems for which the protection criterion is based on an absolute measurement of potential, but are well-suited for use in conjunction with systems using a criterion based on potential shifts.

The next most stable cells were the low-resistance silver cells. The performance was similar to the graphite probes but they were more

strongly affected by the chloride content of the surrounding concrete. Since the standard deviations of the potential difference were lower in salt-free concrete than in the chloride concrete, it was concluded that there was no benefit to the common practice of surrounding the cells with a backfill of chloride bearing concrete or mortar.

The study judged all the other cells to be relatively unstable. However, it must also be noted that the lowest temperature measured during the study was -1°C and measurements taken at colder temperatures have shown that silver-silver chloride cells have sometimes exhibited erratic behavior at colder temperatures.

CURRENT AND FUTURE MINISTRY ACTIVITIES

Embedded graphite reference electrodes have been installed in the Ministry's most recent deck cathodic protection systems and measurements are being taken to correlate readings from the embedded cells with those from the voltage probes on the concrete surface in contact with the conductive bituminous concrete. A considerable quantity of data has been collected on the surface probes over a period of twelve years and the protection criterion of -0.80 V to -1.25 V is based on measurements made on the voltage probe. The purposes of this testing are to ensure that any reference-cell based criterion adopted for decks will result in protection equivalent to that provided using the existing criterion and to ensure that the criterion of 100 mV depolarization in 4 hours, which has been adopted for substructure installation, is consistent with the criterion for decks. Although only two sets of data have been recorded, the initial results are encouraging. Both the criteria based

on voltage probes potentials and on reference cell depolarization were satisfied but the reference cell measurements exhibit greater variation than the probe measurements. Additional data will be collected as part of the regular monitoring program for cathodically protected decks.

Two reference cells manufactured in the United Kingdom are currently being evaluated in the laboratory and the field. One of the cells is a silver-silver chloride cell which has been reported to be very stable and to have performed well under field conditions (12). The second cell is an experimental titanium-based cell.

A University research contract to investigate hydrogen embrittlement in prestressed concrete elements has resulted in the development of very small graphite electrodes which should permit potential measurements at very precise locations. If successful under laboratory conditions, these electrodes will also be evaluated in the field.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

A series of laboratory, exposure plot, and field measurements has identified graphite electrodes as the most suitable for use as a reference cell embedded in concrete. Graphite electrodes have been shown to be stable under conditions of changing temperature and to be only slightly influenced by the chloride content of the concrete.

Further advantages are that the electrodes are inexpensive and the potentials measured are sufficiently close to the potentials relative to a standard copper-copper sulfate cell that the values can be used interchangeably.

The only other cell tested which was found suitable for use in reinforced concrete was a commercial silver-silver chloride cell, but the cell was only evaluated at temperatures of -1°C and greater and was found to be quite strongly influenced by the chloride content of the concrete. Other silver-silver chloride cells were found to exhibit unacceptably high resistance in concrete containing chlorides and to become unstable at temperatures below freezing.

Despite the several advantages of the graphite probes, they cannot be considered the ideal reference cell for use in concrete since they are not perfectly reversible and questions remain with respect to the reactions involved and the extent to which the reactions are influenced by ions present in concrete. Further, the standard deviation of potential measurements made with respect to a standard surface electrode indicated that graphite electrodes are better suited for use in conjunction with protection criteria based on potential shift rather than absolute potentials unless they are calibrated periodically. As a result of these factors, graphite electrodes are being installed and evaluated while simultaneously the suitability of other reference cells is being evaluated.

REFERENCES

1. Stratfull, R.F., Ed., "A Manual for the Corrosion Control of Bridge Decks", Report No. FHWA-CrEng-1, Federal Highway Administration (1984).

2. Fromm, H.J., and Wilson G.P., "Cathodic Protection of Bridge Decks A Study of Three Bridge Decks in Ontario", Transportation Research Record No. 604, pp 38-47 (1976).

3. Manning, D.G., and Bye, D.K., "Bridge Deck Rehabilitation Manual, Part Two: Contract Preparation", Publication SP-017, Ontario Ministry of Transportation (1984).

4. Fromm. H.J., "Measurement of Polarized Potentials in Concrete Bridge Decks ", Transportation Research Record No. 692, pp 23-28 (1978).

5. Schell, H.C., Manning, D.G., and Pianca, F., "A Decade of Bridge Deck Cathodic Protection in Ontario", Paper No. 123, Corrosion 87, National Association of Corrosion Engineers, (1987).

6. Schell, H.C., Manning, D.G., and Clear, K.C., "Cathodic Protection of Bridge Substructures - Burlington Bay Skyway Test Site, Initial Performance of Systems 1 to 4", Transportation Research Record No. 962, pp 38-50 (1984).

7. Locke, C.E., and Dehghanian, C., "Embeddable Reference Electodes for Chloride-Contaminated Concrete", Materials Performance, National Association of Corrosion Engineers, Vol. 18, No. 2, 1979, pp 70-73.

8. Manning, D.G., and Schell, H.C., "Early Performance of Eight Experimental Cathodic Protection Systems at the Burlington Skyway Test Site", Transportation Research Record No. 1041, pp 23-32 (1985).

9. Manning, D.G., and Schell, H.C., "Substructure Cathodic Protection in Ontario: Field Trials 1982-1986", Transportation Research Record No. 1113, pp 47-53 (1987).

10. Ives, D.J.G., and Janz, G.J., "Reference Electrodes, Theory and Practice", Academic Press, New York (1961).

11. Clear, K.C., "Embedded Reference Cells to Monitor and Control Cathodic Protection Systems", Report No. ME-87-18, Ontario Ministry of Transportation (1987).

12. Berkeley, K.G.C., and Pathmanaban, S., "Practical Potential Monitoring in Concrete", U.K. Corrosion 87, Institution of Corrosion Science and Technology, Birmingham, England, pp 115-131 (1987).

TABLE 1: POTENTIAL OF EMBEDDED REFERENCE CELLS RELATIVE TO A PORTABLE COPPER-COPPER SULFATE CELL

Type of Embedded Cell	Potential Difference, Cell to CSE, mV Date and System Status						Standard Deviation of Potential Difference
	Apr. 25 1984 On	May 30 1984 On	Jun 12 1984 On	Jun 14 1984 On	Sep 07 1984 On	May 16 1985 On	
Zinc (1)	887	828	830	810	825	550	120
Zinc (2)	---	555	680	---	511	540	75
Zinc (3)	598	815	795	645	630	920	128
Graphite	76	148	125	155	91	190	42
Molybdenum	604	910	---	---	563	770	160
Silver	---	985	---	---	250	365	395
Lead	---	780	---	---	884	840	52

Notes:

1. --- indicates reading not taken because access to location of the cell restricted temporarily.

2. Negative voltmeter terminal connected to embedded cell.

TABLE 2: STANDARD DEVIATION OF CELL-TO-REBAR POTENTIAL READINGS

Cell Type	Indoor Exposure (16 weeks)		Outdoor Exposure Year 1 (22 weeks)		Outdoor Exposure Year 2 (22 weeks)	
	#1	#2	#1	#2	#1	#2
Lead	28	50	161	27	125	243
Zinc	72	63	73	48	178	92
Graphite	25	30	28	25	10	21
Molybdenum (1)	72	47	34	58	23	78
Molybdenum (2)	78	43	38	50	16	140
Copper-Copper Sulfate (on concrete surface)	35	59	43	43	15	23

Notes:

1. Molybdenum (2) cells were purchased commercially.
2. All values are in millivolts.

TABLE 3: STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF CELL-TO-REBAR POTENTIAL READINGS DURING COLD AND COOL WEATHER INVESTIGATIONS

Cell Type	Test Period Beginning February 7, 1985		March 2, 1987	
	#1	#2	#1	#2
Lead	21	27	27	16
Zinc	175	181	167	129
Graphite	7	4	5	5
Molybdenum (1)	7	48	14	45
Molybdenum (2)	35	23	4	5

Note: All values are in millivolts.

TABLE 4: SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF EXPOSURE PLOT TESTING IN VIRGINIA

Cell	Average Pot. Diff. Cell-to-CSE, mV		Standard Dev. of Pot. Diff. mV		Average AC Res. to Pt. k	
	#1	#2	#1	#2	#1	#2
			Salt-Free Concrete			
Zinc (L)	728	523	109	103	1.7	1.8
Zinc (C)	566	568	94	129	2.5	2.5
Lead (L)	640	540	130	267	2.3	2.5
Graphite (L)	- 8	-15	27	35	1.6	1.5
Silver (C)	-45	-26	43	32	58.9	45.5
Silver (C)	-55	-54	22	36	1.6	1.6
Molybdenum (C)	202	165	82	63	3.7	3.8
Molybdenum (C)	451	375	37	129	3.5	3.7
			Concrete Containing Salt			
Zinc (L)	718	659	130	117	1.7	2.0
Zinc (C)	435	546	173	207	279	231
Lead (L)	581	646	142	238	2.2	2.3
Graphite (L)	8	8	50	47	1.3	1.3
Silver (C)	8	12	41	51	21.2	29.7
Silver (C)	31	20	52	42	1.7	1.5
Molybdenum (C)	191	196	66	70	3.1	2.9
Molybdenum (C)	203	277	120	112	5.8	4.6

Notes:

1. L - Cells made in Ministry laboratories.
   C - Cells purchased commercially. More than one cell of each type indicates different sources.

2. Negative voltmeter terminal connected to embedded cell.



Figure 1: A Zinc-Zinc Sulfate Reference Cell



Figure 2: Comparison of Embedded Graphite and Zinc Cells with Portable Copper-Copper Sulfate Cell Under Field Conditions



Figure 3: A Graphite Electrode



Figure 4: Test Specimen in the Ministry of Transportation Laboratory and Exposure Plot Study



Figure 5: Cell Performance in Cold Weather, February 7, 1985
(a) Cell 1
(b) Cell 2



Figure 6: Cell Performance in Cool Weather, March 21, 1985
(a) Cell 1
(b) Cell 2



Figure 7: Micropolarization Tests on Selected Reference Cells



Figure 8: Test Specimen in the Virginia Outdoor Exposure Study

To The Reader January 20, 1989

Since 1974, Ontario has sought to develop efficient and reliable means of providing cathodic protection to corrosion-damaged steel in concrete. Permanent instrumentation to monitor and, ideally, control potential levels is an important component of any successful system.

Early cathodic protection systems installed by the Ministry of Transportation utilized permanently embedded zinc-zinc sulphate reference cells for potential monitoring. These cells were based on those used for monitoring steel in soil, and were made in small batches in Ministry laboratories. Encased in plaster backfill, the cells required careful handling prior to and during installation, and would disintegrate if exposed to moisture.

As the embedded zinc cells were monitored over a period of years, it was found that they were generally not sufficiently stable to be used for direct control of power levels. In addition, the responsiveness of the cells to changes in potential decreased with time. Physical examination of cells in one deck indicated that considerable breakdown and migration of backfill material took place.

These factors spurred the search for an alternative reference cell for use in Ministry cathodic protection systems. A number of different cells were fabricated in Ministry laboratories, based on recommendations from other agencies. As interest in the marketplace increased, cells could also be purchased from commercial sources, desirable because of the increasing number of cathodic protection installations in the Province.

These cells were evaluated in several studies undertaken by the Ministry, both in-house and externally, over the period 1982-1988, and reported in this paper. These studies resulted in the selection of graphite probes as a monitoring tool for all Provincial bridge deck and substructure cathodic protection installations. The Ministry continues to evaluate in trial installations other cells recently introduced to the marketplace which are considered promising.

H. Schell
Research Engineer